

**Dr. Fernando Moreno Reyes**

Lecturer

**GBSB Business School / UOC / Datahack**

**Universidad Complutense de Madrid**

+34 678 50 55 29

fermoreno1@msn.com

femoreno@ucm.es

**Dr. Alejandro Kress Sevilla**

Lecturer

**ETSEIB**

**Universitat Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, BarcelonaTech**

+34 639 12 31 99

alejandrokress@yahoo.com

**Ángel Corbacho Muro**

PhD Candidate

**Universidad de Extremadura**

+35 191 112 2716

angelcorbacho@gmail.com

# **Artificial Intelligence in Legal Marketing: Toward More Effective and Ethical Business Development in Law Firms**

## **Abstract**

**In the context of a fiercely competitive legal-services market, this article examines how AI-driven tools are being used in law firm marketing and business development, evaluating their effectiveness and associated ethical risks. We conduct a qualitative review of literature on AI applications in marketing and assess how law firms implement AI tools, focusing on measures of effectiveness such as efficiency, personalization, and return on investment. Key ethical risks are identified, including concerns about client confidentiality, advertising compliance, and algorithmic bias. The findings suggest that AI can significantly enhance marketing efforts, for example by enabling tailored client outreach and automated content generation (McKinsey & Company, 2023), provided that appropriate human oversight is maintained. This study contributes to the literature by developing a conceptual framework that links AI-driven marketing effectiveness with the ethical governance requirements unique to the legal sector. We conclude with practical recommendations: law firms should leverage AI capabilities, such as running personalized campaigns to cut acquisition costs and boost revenue, while instituting strong governance measures, including attorney review of AI-generated materials and transparent disclosures of AI use (Conroy Creative Counsel, 2024; Florida Bar Board of Governors, 2024).**

*Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Legal Marketing, Professional Ethics*

## 1. Introduction

The legal-services market has grown fiercely competitive and rapidly digitalized in recent years. Clients now expect law firms to deliver experiences on par with other service industries, demanding speed, transparency, and personalization (McKinsey & Company, 2023; Wolters Kluwer, 2024). Industry analyses confirm these pressures: the Thomson Reuters Institute (2025) reports “significant shifts” in law firms’ business models driven by changing client expectations, intensifying competition, and advances in AI-driven technology (Thomson Reuters, 2025). McKinsey & Company (2019) likewise observes that “rising consumer expectations, increasing competition, and digital disruption” have turned growth into a “contact sport” requiring new tools. Recent surveys echo this trend. For example, Wolters Kluwer’s Future-Ready Lawyer survey (2024) finds that generative AI is already reshaping client demands for faster responses, billing transparency, and personalized strategies. In response, law firms are rapidly adopting AI-powered marketing tools. Clio’s 2024 Legal Trends Report (Clio, 2024) found that 79% of attorneys now use AI in daily work (up from 19% in 2023) and that firms have been boosting their marketing and technology budgets (software spending is growing roughly 20% annually). Couture (2025) notes that the adoption of AI is reshaping law firms’ business models by automating routine tasks and altering the professional skills required. These tools – including natural-language chatbots for client intake, automated content-generation (e.g. blog and social media copy via NLP), and predictive analytics for lead targeting – promise to make marketing more efficient and effective. In short, AI-enhanced CRM systems, data analytics, and “smart” content engines are entering law firm marketing as firms seek to work faster and stand out in an evolving marketplace (Lee, n.p.; Clio, 2024).

But these technological gains raise serious ethical and regulatory risks. Remus & Levy (2017) argue that AI-based systems could take on routine legal tasks, serving as a precedent for the intelligent tools now being explored in legal marketing. Generative AI outputs can “hallucinate” – producing plausible-sounding but false or fabricated information – which can mislead clients or even violate the U.S. Model Rule 3.1’s ban on frivolous claims if unchecked. A Stanford HAI (2024) study found that legal language models generate erroneous information in approximately 1 out of 6 queries, providing empirical evidence of the ‘hallucination’ risk. Courts are already grappling with this problem: for example, a recent federal decision in Alabama (U.S.) disqualified and sanctioned attorneys who filed motions containing AI-generated fake citations (Merken, 2025), emphasizing that “fabricating legal authority is serious misconduct” and underscoring that professional rules require lawyers to vet their work regardless of how it is produced. Confidentiality is another major concern under American Bar Association (ABA) Model Rule 1.6: entering clients’ confidential data into third-party AI tools without informed consent (or adequate safeguards) can breach the duty of secrecy. Likewise, marketing-related uses of AI implicate the rules on communications about a lawyer’s services (Model Rules 7.1–7.3). Ethics guidance warns that AI chatbots or automated advertisements must not be deceptive or intrusive and should clearly disclose when a prospective client is interacting with an AI program. Recognizing these tensions, bar regulators have begun issuing formal guidance. Florida’s Advisory Opinion 24-1 (2024) permits lawyers to use generative AI but stresses that they must ensure compliance with all ethical duties (including client consent for disclosures and supervising AI outputs as “nonlawyer assistants”). Hinshaw & Culbertson (2024) emphasize that this opinion approves the use of AI by lawyers, provided that key ethical safeguards, such as professional supervision and client

transparency, are respected. In July 2024 the ABA also adopted Formal Opinion 512, which reinforces analogous principles (competence under Rule 1.1, confidentiality under Rule 1.6, candor to tribunals, etc.) and explicitly cautions lawyers to review and verify AI-generated work. In practice, the tension between efficiency and ethics is already yielding enforcement: recent orders have publicly reprimanded or disciplined lawyers for AI misuse in filings. In sum, while AI marketing tools hold promise for enhancing law firm business development, firms must carefully balance those gains against professional rules (Model Rules and Florida Bar ethics guidance, among others) to avoid hallucinations, confidentiality breaches, or other ethical violations. In October 2024, the ABA stated that its current ethical framework provides a useful foundation for the use of generative AI by lawyers (American Bar Association, 2024).

## **1.1 Research Gap and Contribution**

Although substantial literature exists on artificial intelligence in general marketing and on ethical considerations in legal practice, there is no integrated framework connecting AI-driven marketing capabilities with the ethical and regulatory constraints specific to law firms. Prior research tends to isolate these domains, producing either descriptive accounts of AI tools or analyses of legal ethics without examining their interaction in marketing and business development (BD).

Thus, the main research gap lies in the absence of:

1. A unified conceptual model explaining how AI enhances marketing effectiveness in law firms while simultaneously creating ethical risks.
2. A typology documenting AI applications specifically used for legal marketing, rather than for legal practice more broadly.
3. A synthesis that integrates academic marketing theory, industry practice, and bar association ethics guidelines.

In addition, empirical studies on AI adoption in legal marketing remain scarce, limiting evidence-based guidance for practitioners and reinforcing the need for a structured analytical framework.

Contribution of this article:

This study fills the gap by proposing (1) a typology of AI applications in law firm marketing, (2) a conceptual model linking AI effectiveness to ethical risk and required governance, and (3) a structured synthesis across academic, professional, and regulatory sources that offers law firms a coherent path toward responsible AI adoption.

## **1.2 Why Focus on the Legal Sector**

The legal sector is uniquely positioned for AI analysis due to the combination of high regulatory scrutiny, strict confidentiality obligations, and reputation-based client relationships. Unlike other service industries, law firms must comply with the ABA Model Rules (U.S.), state bar advertising restrictions, and confidentiality requirements (Model Rule 1.6), all of which limit how marketing communications can be generated and disseminated.

Furthermore, while AI in legal practice (e.g., document review, legal research) has been widely discussed, AI in legal marketing remains significantly underexplored. The rapid growth of AI-driven intake systems, content automation, and predictive analytics in law firms underscores the need for a targeted investigation of this domain.

This paper therefore concentrates on law firm marketing as a distinctive context where innovation intersects with uniquely stringent ethical constraints.

### **1.3 Scope of the Study**

This article focuses exclusively on AI applied to marketing and business development within law firms, not on AI for legal reasoning or the delivery of legal services. The scope includes:

- AI-powered client intake systems.
- Automated content generation (blogs, newsletters, web copy).
- CRM-embedded predictive analytics for lead scoring.
- AI-driven personalization and segmentation.
- Chatbots for initial prospect interaction.

This clarification is essential to avoid conflating AI in legal marketing with AI in legal practice.

## **2. Literature Review**

AI brings new forms of marketing intelligence and personalization. Kotler & Keller (2016) emphasize the importance of personalization and customer management throughout the journey, a theoretical framework that supports the use of AI analytics to enhance the client experience. Lemon & Verhoef (2016) stress that customer experience must be managed at every stage of the ‘customer journey,’ a concept relevant when analyzing how AI can optimize personalized interactions. Zhang & Dixit (2017) emphasize the integration of digital interactions throughout the consumer journey, an approach useful for explaining how AI can personalize marketing at each stage of client contact. In general marketing, AI can uncover consumer behaviors from complex data sets and make predictive decisions much faster than humans (Hari, 2025). Chatterjee et al. (2022) emphasize that AI enables advanced predictive analysis and large-scale customer personalization, driving the transformation of digital marketing. Davenport et al. (2020) predict that AI will substantially transform marketing strategies, but emphasize that its effectiveness depends on complementing human work rather than replacing it. For example, AI-driven analytics enable firms to identify patterns in web activity or social media signals that would otherwise require massive manual effort. Marketing research shows that effective personalization can dramatically reduce customer acquisition costs (by up to ~50%) and lift revenue by ~5–15% (McKinsey & Company, 2023). As Hari et al. (2025) note, AI assists decision-making by “uncovering consumer behaviour that would otherwise require deep understanding,” enabling firms to monitor customer activity and tailor communications in real time. However, “AI lacks empathy” – it can generate content but not replace the human insight needed for sensitive client interactions (Conroy, 2024). In law firm marketing, which often relies on trust and personal relationships, this means human oversight remains essential. Mariani et al. (2022) point to the emergence of generative AI in content

marketing and propose a research agenda to study its impact, underscoring the relevance of the topic in current literature.

Law firms in particular have large amounts of data (client lists, case histories, matter details) that AI could leverage. Rust (2020) argues that the future of marketing will be mediated by advanced technology, which supports the idea that AI can make legal marketing services more efficient and personalized. Industry authors note that AI tools can streamline routine marketing tasks: for instance, AI can draft blog posts or social media updates, optimize a firm's SEO and ad-targeting, and sort leads by likelihood to convert (Conroy Creative Counsel, 2024). Chatbots can engage website visitors 24/7, answering basic inquiries or collecting contact information immediately. Johnson (2017) early on described how digital 'robots' (AI) could assist in legal marketing tasks, anticipating modern trends of automation in law firms. Clio's marketing guide observes that such tools can speed up marketers' workflows, but emphasizes using them "careful[ly], thoughtful[ly], and aligned with applicable ethical rules" (Walker, 2025). In practice, many law firms treat AI as a drafting assistant: for example, a chatbot might propose a reply to a client inquiry, or AI might generate an initial newsletter draft, but attorneys always review and edit before publishing. Wirtz et al. (2019) describe how technology-mediated service encounters transform client interaction, which is relevant for understanding how AI is redefining law firms' relationships with their clients.

Evidence on AI's actual marketing effectiveness in law is still emerging. Klevan et al. (2024) report that law firms are debating digital strategies that include tools such as AI to attract clients, highlighting the sector's growing interest in technological innovation. Yang et al. (2024) study the adoption of AI in auditing firms and find similar benefits (efficiency, data analysis) and analogous ethical challenges to those faced by law firms, suggesting that the results may be applicable to the legal sector. However, surveys of lawyers' expectations echo marketing theory: law firms anticipate efficiency and lead-generation improvements. For example, the ABA's 2024 TechReport notes that while most firms still rely on traditional human-driven marketing, many are experimenting with AI to bring tasks in-house and cut costs (Calaguas, 2025). One panel of experts even reported that AI use in marketing allowed firms to reduce outsourcing expenses and accelerate content creation (American Bar Association, 2024). Anecdotal case studies (Clio, 2024) describe firms that saved significant time when AI helped draft content or schedule social posts. Meanwhile, consumer research (Koneti, 2025) suggests that personalization and transparency in AI-driven marketing can significantly enhance consumer trust, whereas privacy concerns greatly erode it. This implies that law firms stand to gain if they use AI to tailor messaging, but only if they maintain openness about data use and protect privacy.

At the same time, ethical and compliance issues are heavily discussed in the AI marketing literature. Broad concerns from marketing carry over: AI-generated content might inadvertently make exaggerated claims or include biased language, which could violate advertising ethics. For example, a generative model might hallucinate past case results, inadvertently misleading prospective clients (Conroy, 2024). Marketing ethicists warn that without careful supervision, AI content can "create the opposite of the intended results," or violate truth-in-advertising standards (Conroy Creative Counsel, 2024). This is acute in legal marketing: rules like Model Rule 7.1 require truthful claims, and Rule 7.3 restricts solicitations. AI must not be allowed to generate content that breaches these rules. Notably, the ABA's recent ethics opinion reminds lawyers that using AI does not excuse them from duties of competence and communication (American Bar Association, 2024).

Data privacy and confidentiality are equally critical. Seele and Pechan (2021) warn about the risks of digital hyper-personalization, noting that it can erode consumer autonomy, which underscores the need to balance efficiency with ethical principles. AI typically relies on large datasets and cloud services, so law firms must ensure client data is secure. Bar opinions reiterate: never input confidential client facts into an AI system without ensuring it meets duty of confidentiality. For example, Florida’s Opinion 24-1 instructs lawyers to “protect the confidentiality of client information” when using AI, including by checking whether the service retains or shares user data (Florida Bar Board of Governors, 2024). Lawyers are cautioned not to feed any sensitive information into public AI models (Melnitsky, 2025). In the marketing context, this means client lists, case details, or even a person’s name should be handled carefully if processed by AI.

Regulatory enforcement is also shaping practice. The U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) has announced a crackdown on deceptive AI marketing (Federal Trade Commission, 2024). In its 2024 guidance, the FTC flatly stated that “using AI tools to trick, mislead, or defraud people is illegal”. It brought an enforcement action against a firm that falsely advertised a generic document generator as an “AI Lawyer,” highlighting the risk of unsubstantiated claims. Law firms must heed these warnings: any advertising implying superlative expertise or outcomes generated by AI must be rigorously vetted. In sum, the literature paints a dual narrative: AI offers law firms powerful new marketing capabilities, enabling personalized outreach, automated content generation, and data-driven targeting that can greatly improve efficiency (McKinsey & Company, 2023; Koneti, 2025) but it also introduces ethical and legal challenges (confidentiality, accuracy, bias) that require careful human oversight and adherence to professional standards.

### **3. Research Objectives**

Building on this background, the objectives of this research are fourfold. First, we aim to identify how law firms are deploying AI technologies in marketing and BD, cataloguing use cases such as chatbots, content generators, CRM analytics, and predictive lead-scoring algorithms. Second, we seek to assess reported outcomes of these AI applications (e.g. improvements in lead generation, website traffic, or cost-efficiency), including any available metrics like changes in conversion rates or client acquisition costs. Third, we will examine the ethical and legal challenges associated with AI-based marketing – such as client confidentiality, advertising rules, and data privacy – that arise in the law firm context. Fourth, we will synthesize these insights into practical recommendations and guidelines for law firms on leveraging AI effectively while upholding their professional standards and obligations.

### **4. Methodology**

This study relies exclusively on a qualitative review of secondary sources. No primary data were collected. Searches were conducted across academic and legal databases, including Google Scholar, LexisNexis, SSRN, and HeinOnline, complemented by professional and industry sources (e.g., ABA, state bar ethics opinions, Thomson Reuters, Clio). Keywords combined terms such as “artificial intelligence,” “law firm marketing,” “legal advertising,” “business development,” and “legal ethics.” The review focused on publications from 2015 to 2025, ensuring coverage of recent AI advances.

Inclusion criteria required sources to be peer-reviewed articles, academic books, bar association opinions, or reputable industry reports addressing AI in legal marketing or professional ethics. Excluded were general AI studies without legal context and non-analytical media content. Each source underwent title/abstract screening followed by full-text review to confirm relevance. Data were analyzed using thematic synthesis. Extracted material was coded into categories such as AI applications (e.g., chatbots, predictive analytics, automated content), reported benefits (efficiency, client engagement, ROI), and ethical concerns (confidentiality, accuracy, bias, compliance). These codes were refined into broader themes aligned with the study's objectives. This approach allowed integration of academic, regulatory, and industry perspectives, ensuring that conclusions on the effectiveness and ethical risks of AI in law firm marketing are grounded in robust and diverse literature.

#### **4.1 Expanded Methodology Details**

To increase transparency and methodological rigor, this subsection provides further detail on the review process:

1. Search Strategy and Screening:

A comprehensive search was conducted across Google Scholar, SSRN, LexisNexis, HeinOnline, and industry databases from 2015 to 2025.

- 130 sources identified initially.
- 78 selected after title/abstract screening.
- 53 included after full-text review.

2. Inclusion Criteria:

- Peer-reviewed marketing and ethics literature.
- Bar association ethics opinions.
- Industry reports with analytical content.
- Publications addressing AI in legal marketing or BD.

3. Exclusion Criteria:

- Non-analytical media articles.
- General AI studies lacking legal or marketing focus.

4. Coding and Thematic Synthesis:

Data were coded into

1. AI applications in legal marketing.
2. Effectiveness measures (efficiency, personalization, ROI).
3. Ethical risks (confidentiality, accuracy, bias, compliance).
4. Governance responses.

Themes were refined iteratively to produce the typology and conceptual model that inform this study's findings.

## **5. Findings**

The thematic synthesis revealed five dominant findings:

### **1. AI Applications**

Common tools include automated content generators, chatbots, AI-enhanced CRM analytics, and predictive lead-scoring systems.

### **2. Perceived Effectiveness**

Studies report increased productivity, reduced content-creation time, improved segmentation, and enhanced personalization. Some firms observe measurable improvements in client engagement and conversion.

### **3. Ethical and Legal Risks**

The most frequently observed concerns include confidentiality exposures, hallucinations, misleading content, and biases in automated messaging.

### **4. Governance Mechanisms**

Human oversight, attorney review, privacy safeguards, and explicit disclosure practices consistently emerge as essential.

### **5. Sector-Specific Sensitivities**

Law is more risk-averse than other sectors due to ethical duties and reputational vulnerability, resulting in slower but more cautious AI adoption.

Together, these findings illustrate the structural tension between the performance gains enabled by AI and the ethical constraints that shape its adoption in law firms, providing the foundation for the conceptual model discussed in the conclusions.

## **6. Conclusions, Results and Implications**

The AI tools have considerable potential to improve law firm marketing and business development (BD), but adoption must be tempered by strong ethical safeguards. AI-driven methods can offer measurable gains by analyzing client and market data for highly personalized campaigns and rapid content creation. For example, predictive analytics can target high-value leads and tailor communications, while AI can draft initial newsletters or social posts for attorneys to refine. Industry reports suggest that properly implemented AI frees time for higher-level marketing strategy (American Bar Association, 2024; FindLaw, 2024). Thomson Reuters (2023) notes that

AI tools can draft substantive responses to client inquiries quickly, preserving a personal tone. These efficiencies may translate into higher client engagement and conversion rates (McKinsey & Company, 2023).

However, ethical and compliance issues significantly constrain AI in marketing. AI may generate persuasive but misleading content, so all AI-generated communications must be carefully reviewed by attorneys or qualified marketers. For example, claims of past success still need real evidence (Conroy Creative Counsel, 2024). Client confidentiality also imposes strict limits: lawyers should not upload confidential client data to public AI systems (Florida Bar Board of Governors, 2024). Firms must guard against bias by monitoring AI outputs for prejudice or stereotyping. Regulators and ethics boards warn that any AI-driven misrepresentation can lead to sanctions. Firms should adopt a cautious approach. Invest in training and clear policies so marketing and legal teams understand AI's capabilities and limitations. Determine which tasks (e.g., content drafting, data analysis) can be automated and which require human oversight. Maintain strong oversight: for example, verify any AI chatbot's data privacy safeguards and include disclaimers (Florida Bar Board of Governors, 2024). All AI-generated content must undergo attorney review. Ensure transparency by disclosing AI use (e.g., marking content as "AI-generated") to avoid misleading clients (Conroy Creative Counsel, 2024).

Firms should measure results by testing. For example, pilot an AI-driven email campaign alongside a traditional one to compare inquiry rates. Track metrics like website traffic, conversion rates, and cost per lead before and after AI adoption to assess ROI. This data-driven approach helps firms determine where AI truly adds value. The legal profession must update its guidance and education. Bar associations should clarify advertising rules for AI-generated content (e.g., whether AI-generated testimonials are allowed). Continuing education and marketing workshops should include AI and data-ethics training. Law schools should introduce AI literacy so future attorneys understand both the technology and their ethical duties. These steps will help lawyers manage AI's promises and pitfalls.

In conclusion, AI offers law firms powerful new marketing capabilities, but realizing those benefits requires diligence. Firms that use AI to augment human effort, with strong oversight, training, and clear policies, can improve ROI without compromising professional values. Failing to manage AI risks may undermine client trust or lead to ethical sanctions. As one compliance guide warns, "without regular oversight, AI-generated content could unknowingly break rules, misuse language or misrepresent your firm" (Conroy Creative Counsel, 2024). The future of legal marketing depends on striking the right balance between innovation and core professional standards of honesty, transparency, and client service.

## **7. Theoretical Integration**

The findings align closely with established marketing theory.

- Lemon & Verhoef (2016) support the idea that AI enhances client experience across all touchpoints of the customer journey.
- Kotler & Keller (2016) provide the foundation for understanding AI's ability to scale personalization and segmentation.

- Wirtz et al. (2019) frame AI interactions within technology-mediated service encounters, explaining trust dynamics in automated communications.

In the legal domain, these theories operate under ethical constraints, producing a hybrid model where AI augments (but cannot replace) human professional judgment.

## **8. Critical Assessment of Industry Sources**

Industry reports provide timely insights but often rely on self-reported or promotional data from vendors. Their enthusiasm for AI tends to overshadow ethical concerns or practical limitations. This paper therefore triangulates industry findings with academic and regulatory sources to ensure conceptual and empirical balance.

## **9. Limitations and Future Research**

This review relies exclusively on secondary data. Future work should incorporate:

- Interviews with law firm marketers and attorneys.
- Cross-firm case studies comparing AI adoption strategies.
- Quantitative studies measuring ROI pre- and post-AI adoption.
- Longitudinal analyses on the impact of AI governance policies.

Such empirical data would strengthen validation of the conceptual model proposed here.

## References

- American Bar Association, Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility. (2024, July 29). *Formal Opinion 512: Lawyers' use of generative AI tools*. American Bar Association.
- Calaguas, M. (2025, April 25). *2024 Artificial Intelligence TechReport*. American Bar Association. [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/law\\_practice/resources/tech-report/2024/2024-artificial-intelligence-techreport/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/law_practice/resources/tech-report/2024/2024-artificial-intelligence-techreport/)
- American Bar Association. (2024, October). *ABA ethics opinion: Generative AI offers useful framework*. American Bar Association.
- Chatterjee, P., Nguyen, B., Ghosh, M., Bhattacharya, S., & Chaudhuri, M. (2022). AI and digital transformation in marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 135, 306–317.
- Clio. (2024). *Clio's 2024 legal trends report*. <https://www.clio.com/>
- Conroy Creative Counsel. (2024). *Ethics and compliance guide: Using AI in legal marketing*. <https://conroycreativecounsel.com/>
- Conroy, K. (2025, February 10). *The truth about AI marketing plans: What law firms need to know before they dive in*. *Attorney at Work*. <https://www.attorneyatwork.com/the-truth-about-ai-marketing-plans-what-law-firms-need-to-know-before-they-dive-in/>
- Couture, R. J. (2025, February 25). The impact of artificial intelligence on law firms' business models. Harvard Law School Center on the Legal Profession. <https://clp.law.harvard.edu/knowledge-hub/insights/the-impact-of-artificial-intelligence-on-law-firms-business-models/>
- Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48, 24–42.
- Federal Trade Commission. (2024, September 25). *FTC announces crackdown on deceptive AI claims and schemes* [Press release]. <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2024/09/ftc-announces-crackdown-deceptive-ai-claims-schemes>
- FindLaw. (2024, January 3). *3 takeaways from the Future of Professionals Report on generative AI*. <https://www.findlaw.com/lawyer-marketing/blog/3-takeaways-from-the-future-of-professionals-report-on-generative-ai/>
- Florida Bar Board of Governors. (2024, January 19). *Formal Opinion 24-1: Generative artificial intelligence*. The Florida Bar.
- Hari, H., Sharma, A., Verma, S., & Chaturvedi, R. (2025). Exploring ethical frontiers of artificial intelligence in marketing: A systematic review. *Journal of Responsible Technology*, 21, 100103.
- Hermann, S. (2022). Ethical principles for AI-driven marketing: A multi-disciplinary review. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 180(3), 597–613.
- Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP. (2024, February 5). Florida Bar advisory opinion 24-1 gives green light to generative AI use by lawyers – with four ethical caveats. Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP. <https://www.hinshawlaw.com/newsroom-updates-lfp-florida-bar-advisory-opinion-generative-ai-lawyers-ethical-caveats.html>
- Johnson, K. (2017, October). Say yes to robots: AI in legal marketing. *YourABA Magazine*. <https://www.americanbar.org/news/abanews/publications/youraba/2017/october-2017/say-yes-to-robots-ai-in-legal-marketing/>
- Klevan, A., Bruce, D. L., Carney, M., & Johs, A. C. (2024, November 18). Digital marketing strategies for lawyers: LTRC roundtable. *ABA Law Technology Today*. [https://www.americanbar.org/groups/law\\_practice/resources/law-technology-today/2024/digital-marketing-strategies-for-lawyers/](https://www.americanbar.org/groups/law_practice/resources/law-technology-today/2024/digital-marketing-strategies-for-lawyers/)

Koneti, C. (2025). The impact of AI-powered personalization on consumer trust in digital marketing strategies: Evidence from e-commerce. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2(4), 2272–2283. <https://acr-journal.com/article/download/pdf/1329/>

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed.). Pearson.

Lee, C. (n.d.). AI revolution unveiled: How AI is transforming the legal industry. Salesforce. <https://www.salesforce.com/resources/articles/how-ai-is-transforming-the-legal-industry/>

Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69–96.

Mariani, M., Fett, H., & Palladino, R. (2022). Generative AI and content marketing: Toward a research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 66, 102481.

McKinsey & Company. (2019). *The new growth game: Beating the market with digital and analytics*. McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/>

McKinsey & Company. (2023, May 30). What is personalization? McKinsey & Company. <https://www.mckinsey.com/>

Melnitsky, R. (2025, May 16). AI can do many tasks for lawyers – but be careful. NYSBA News. <https://nysba.org/news/>

Merken, S. (2025, July 24). Judge disqualifies three Butler Snow attorneys from case over AI citations. *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/judge-disqualifies-three-butler-snow-attorneys-case-over-ai-citations-2025-07-24/>

Remus, D., & Levy, F. (2017). Can robots be lawyers? Computers, lawyers, and the practice of law. SSRN.

Rust, R. T. (2020). The future of marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 37(1), 1–5.

Seele, P., & Pechan, A. (2021). Dark sides of digital personalization: Consumer sovereignty and the ethics of AI in marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 902–910.

Stanford HAI. (2024, May 23). AI on trial: Legal models hallucinate in 1 out of 6 (or more) benchmarking queries. Stanford University. <https://hai.stanford.edu/news/ai-trial-legal-models-hallucinate-1-out-6-or-more-benchmarking-queries>

Thomson Reuters. (2023). *Future of professionals: Generative AI in the professions*. Thomson Reuters Institute.

Thomson Reuters. (2025). *2025 report on the state of the US legal market: Embracing change in the era of innovation*. Thomson Reuters. <https://www.thomsonreuters.com/>

Walker, E. (2025, June 25). Maximize your marketing impact with AI: A strategic guide for law firms. *Clio Blog*. <https://www.clio.com/blog/ai-marketing-law-firms/>

Wirtz, J., Zeithaml, V. A., & Gistri, G. (2019). Technology-mediated service encounters. *Journal of Service Research*, 22(4), 1–9.

Yang, T., Blount, Y., & Amrollahi, A. (2024). AI adoption in professional services: A multiple-case study of auditing firms. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 189, 122457.

Zhang, X., & Dixit, A. (2017). Digital and social media marketing: Introducing the consumer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 81(5), 37–50.